

**BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL  
TRIBUNAL-2, MUMBAI**

**APPEAL NO. CGIT- 2 / EPFA 146 /2024**

M/s. Classic Glass Glazing.

- Appellant

V/s.

The Assistant Provident Fund Commissioner,

EPFO, Mumbai.

- Respondent

**ORDER**

**(Delivered on 28-10-2024)**

Read application filed on behalf of the applicant. Perused the say given on behalf of the opponent alongwith the order dated 28.10.2024 passed by this court.

It is worthwhile to mention here that, in earlier application there was no prayer in respect of DE-FREEZING the Bank account of the applicant however in the prayer clause the applicant only requested to quash and set aside the order dated 14.10.2024 passed u/s. 8-F of the EPF & MP Act 1955, as such this court directed the opponent to keep the recovery order in abeyance until further order only on condition of depositing 40% amount by Demand Draft with the opponent.

However, by this application the applicant submitted that, the Bank account has been FREEZED by the opponent and unless the same is DE-FREEZED, the 40% amount cannot be deposited with the opponent therefore requested for DE-FREEZING the Bank account. Considering the

request/prayer made by the applicant, I am inclined to direct the opponent to take the amount of 40% of the amount assessed in the order under challenge from the Bank account of the applicant keep that amount with them and issue letter to the Bank for DE-FREEZING the Bank account of the applicant after deducting the 40% amount from the Bank account of the applicant.

In the result, the application is allowed. The opponent is directed to issue letter to the Bank Authority to DE-FREEZE the Bank account of the applicant by deducting the 40% amount of the amount assessed in the order under appeal and the same be deposited with the Bank.

Sd/-

Date: 28-10-2024

(Shrikant K. Deshpande)  
Presiding Officer  
CGIT -2, Mumbai