

ID No.48/2024

04.02.2026

Present: Workman in person.
Sh. M K Ahmed, AR for respondent no.3 & 6.
None for respondent no.1, 2, 4 & 5.

In this case, earlier an intern namely Ms. Deepshikha has appeared on behalf of respondent no.3 & 6. However, when she was asked to file memo of appearance, she stated that she is student of Law in Punjabi University and was sent by one Sh. M K Ahmed, Advocate, who earlier has filed memo of appearance on behalf of respondent no.3 and 6 on previous date i.e. 06.10.2025.

Sh. M K Ahmed was contacted on phone and asked why he has sent an intern in this case and was asked to come present before the court. Now he turned up at about 01:00 PM and again filed memo of appearance on behalf of respondent no.3 & 6. He further stated that the main counsel in this case is Sh. Gaurav Goyal and some mis-happening has happened in his house, so he has not turned up. It appears that respondent no.3 & 6 are not taking up this case seriously. On the other hand, workman has stated that he has come from Sri Nagar and it takes him about 2 days to come here. Rs.2000/- is imposed as cost on the respondent no.3 & 6, which is to be paid to the workman on the next date.

As per tracking report, notice sent to respondent no. 1, 2, 4 & 5 were duly delivered on the address on 04.11.2025. However, no one turned up on behalf of respondent no. 1, 2, 4 & 5 despite service. Hence, respondent no. 1, 2, 4 & 5 are proceeded ex parte. The case is adjourned for 28.05.2026 for filing written statement and authority letter on behalf of respondent no.3 & 6 as well as for payment of cost by respondent no.3 & 6.

(Kamal Kant)
Presiding Officer
Central Government Industrial
Tribunal-cum-Labour Court-II,
Chandigarh
(04.02.2026)